7:45 AM PDT 2023-07-19

**Call to Order:** 0800

**Meeting Lead:** Joshua BRICKMAN

**Attendees:** 24

**Member Count:** 72

**Next Meeting:** Wednesday July 26th, 2023

**Highlights:**

~

**Old Business:**

~

**New Business:**

* Josh presented GitHub Issue #100 “*Guidance Doc Status and Ownership if Applicable*”
  + Issue created by Josh B and Brandon H to identify work units that have been completed and those that are still open and need work. Each work unit references associated GitHub issues and is tagged with owners (members that have expressed commitment to adding or modifying content for those items).
  + Discussion on the “*Contributors Roles in Product Evaluations*” work unit.
    - This work unit needs work and an owner.
    - Discussion on some of the contributor roles that might exist, including who a sponsor of an evaluation might be (Customer, Gov, CSP, etc.)
  + Discussion on “*Cloud Sub-Processes*” work unit.
    - This work unit is linked to GitHub Issue #74.
    - Justin F shared that the NIAP sub-group he is working with to analyze the MDM PP with respect to a cloud evaluation process, has developed draft deliverables of the resulting analysis, however these drafts must be formally reviewed by the rest of the sub-group prior to authorization for release to CCitC membership.
    - Justin F reiterated that the sub-group won’t be aiming to change PP’s, however their intention is to provide recommendations to the PP authors on things that should be considered, or specific changes that that would aid in allowing for cloud-based evaluations.
* Review of GitHub Issue #94 “*Meet face to face at ICCC*”
  + The CCUF is unable to provide adequate space for a CCitC working session, however Josh B is looking into reserving conference space within Oracles offices approximately 10 minutes walk from the ICCC host hotel (Marriott Metro Center)
* Review of GitHub Issue #101 “*Trusted Platform Details*”
  + It was identified that a new top-level section should be added to the Guidance document to describe in more detail what a trusted platform is and is not. This would expand on the definition currently present in the glossary section of the Guidance doc and provide additional information and context on how a trusted platform is to be incorporated within CCitC Guidance.
  + Justin F raised a point on PPs having well defined assumptions and operational objectives, which may or may not involve mention of the platform but is not exactly a standard between PP’s that is based on use cases and similar operational environments. Some assumptions may only apply to cloud contexts (eg. some PPs state that an assumption only applies to vND’s or Distributed TOEs).

**Questions/Follow-ups:**

* Josh B to follow up with NIAP Liaisons on the work being done within the NIAP sub-group working on the MDM PP analysis.
* CCitC Members to add comments to GitHub issue #101 “*Trusted Platform Details*”.
  + What are essential things to include?
  + Acceptable/not acceptable cloud authorizations (determined by the scheme?)
  + Discussion to be continued next meeting.

***End of Meeting – Adjourned 0901 PDT***